

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/697,589	10/30/2003	Yong Chen	10992517-3	8317
7590 09/14/2005			EXAMINER	
HEWLETT-PACKARD COMPANY			WEISS, HOWARD	
Intellectual Property Administration			ADTIBUT	D 4 DCD 311 D 4 DCD
P. O. Box 272400			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
Fort Collins, CO 80527-2400			2814	

DATE MAILED: 09/14/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

App	ication No.	Applicant(s)	·
10/6	97,589	CHEN ET AL.	
Office Action Summary Exam	miner	Art Unit	
How	ard Weiss	2814	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears of Period for Reply	on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence addre	ess
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS S WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE C - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). Ir after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	OF THIS COMMUNICATION on no event, however, may a reply be time and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the application to become ABANDONE	I. lely filed the mailing date of this comm 0 (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status			
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 18 July 200			
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☑ This action			
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance ex			erits is
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex pan	re Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 45	03 U.G. 213.	
Disposition of Claims			
4) Claim(s) 12-29 is √are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn fro 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 12-29 is √are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or elected.	•		
Application Papers			
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.		-	
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted			
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawir Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is			1.121(d).
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priori a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority do application from the International Bureau (PC) * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the	e been received. e been received in Applicati cuments have been receive T Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National St	age
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D		5 2)

Paper No(s)/Mail Date _____. U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-326 (Rev. 7-05)

3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)

6) Other: _

Application/Control Number: 10/697,589 Page 2

Art Unit: 2814

Attorney's Docket Number: 10992517-3

Filing Date: 10/30/03

Continuing Data: Division of 10/104,348 (3/22/02 now U.S. Patent No. 6,699,779); RCE

established 7/18/05

Claimed Foreign Priority Date: none Applicant(s): Chen et al. (Williams)

Examiner: Howard Weiss

Continued Examination Under 37 CFR 1.114

1. A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on 7/18/05 has been entered.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

- 2. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:
 - A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -
 - (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.
- 3. Claims 12, 13, 18, 19, 24 and 25 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Watanabe et al. (U.S. Patent No. 6,590,231).

Watanabe et al. show all aspects of the instant invention (e.g. Figure 3) including:

➤ a first elongated nanowire on and in contact with an insulating surface 12 and having two ends 16,16' forming source/drain of a transistor Application/Control Number: 10/697,589

Art Unit: 2814

> a second elongated nanowire 19 at right angles to and in the same plane as said first nanowire

Page 3

➤ a gap about between 0.4 and 10 nm between said first and second nanowires (Column 8 Lines 55 to 59)

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

5. Claims 15, 21 and 27 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Watanabe et al. and Hofmann et al. (U.S. Patent No. 6,707,098).

Watanabe et al. shows most aspects of the instant invention (Paragraph 3) except for the gap filled with electrical charge storing material. Hofmann et al. teach (e.g. Figure 1) to fill a gap between nanowires **101,107** with charge storing material **103** to form a binary permanent memory system (Column 5 Lines 26 to 38). It would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention to fill a

Application/Control Number: 10/697,589

Art Unit: 2814

gap between nanowires with charge storing material as taught by Hofmann et al. in the device of Watanabe et al. to form a binary permanent memory system.

6. Claims 14, 20 and 26 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Watanabe et al. and Jin (U.S. Patent No. 6,286,226).

Watanabe et al. disclose most aspects of the claimed invention (Paragraph 3) except for the use of Carbon nanotubes for the nanowire material instead of semiconductor material chosen from the group as claimed. Jin teaches (Column 6 Lines 16 to 22) that semiconductor material is an equivalent nanowire material known in the art. Therefore, because these two nanowire materials were artrecognized equivalents at the time the invention was made, one of ordinary skill in the art would have found it obvious to substitute a semiconductor material for the carbon nanotubes.

7. Claims 16, 17, 22, 23, 28 and 29 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Watanabe et al. and Yano et al. (JP 04-097564).

Watanabe et al. disclose most aspects of the claimed invention (Paragraph 3) except for the gap filled with material having an electric dipole moment and the first and second nanowires forming a two-electrode memory switching device. Yano et al. teach (e.g. Figure 17) to fill a gap with material 52 having an electric dipole moment and the first 58 and second 57 nanowires forming a two-electrode memory switching device to permit high-speed information processing by extremely small electric consumption (see PURPOSE). It would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention to fill a gap with material having an electric dipole moment and the first and second nanowires forming a two-electrode memory switching device as taught by Yano et al. in the device of Watanabe et al. to permit high-speed information processing by extremely small electric consumption.

Application/Control Number: 10/697,589

Art Unit: 2814

Response to Arguments

8. Applicant's arguments with respect to Claims 12 to 29 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Conclusion

- 9. Paper copies of cited U.S. patents and U.S. patent application publications will cease to be mailed to applicants with Office actions as of June 2004. Paper copies of foreign patents and non-patent literature will continue to be included with office actions. These cited U.S. patents and patent application publications are available for download via the Office's PAIR. As an alternate source, all U.S. patents and patent application publications are available on the USPTO web (<u>www.uspto.gov</u>), from the Office of Public Records and from commercial sources. Center Applicants are referred to the Electronic Business (EBC) http://www.uspto.gov/ebc/index.html or 1-866-217-9197 for information on this policy. Requests to restart a period for response due to a missing U.S. patent or patent application publications will not be granted.
- 10. Papers related to this application may be submitted directly to Art Unit 2814 by facsimile transmission. The faxing of such papers must conform with the notice published in the Official Gazette, 1096 OG 30 (15 November 1989). The Art Unit 2814 Fax Center number is (571) 273-8300. The Art Unit 2814 Fax Center is to be used only for papers related to Art Unit 2814 applications.
- 11. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Howard Weiss at (571) 272-1720 and between the hours of 7:00 AM to 3:00 PM (Eastern Standard Time) Monday through Friday or by e-mail via Howard.Weiss@uspto.gov. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Wael Fahmy, can be reached on (571) 272-1705.

Page 5

Art Unit: 2814

12. The following list is the Examiner's field of search for the present Office Action:

Field of Search	Date	
U.S. Class / Subclass(es): 257/ 295, 310	thru 9/12/05	
Other Documentation: none		
Electronic Database(s): EAST	thru 9/12/05	

HW/hw 13 September 2005 Howard Weiss Primary Examiner Art Unit 2814